

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/636,025	10/636,025 08/07/2003		Leif Fredin	065823.0110	1031	
23640	7590	07/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAKER B	•	LP	PRITCHETT	PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
910 LOUISI HOUSTON		002-4995	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			•	2872	2872	
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

··-·		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/636,02		FREDIN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit				
		Joshua L.	Pritchett	2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH THE - Exter - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no eve eply within the state od will apply and w ute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	June 2005.	•					
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims			•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1 and 8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers			,				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2004</u> in Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ a ne drawing(s) b ection is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
	•							
Attachmen								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to Request for Continued Examination filed May 5, 2005 and Amendment filed June 2, 2005. Claim 1 has been amended as requested by the applicant.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigehara (US 6,771,358).

Regarding claim 1, Shigehara teaches a light source producing an exicitation signal, a single-mode optical fiber coupled to the light source so that a couple excitation signal is introduc4ed into the optical fiber, wherein the coupled excitation signal is a continuous wave signal modulated at variable frequencies and a first detector to receive radiation backscattered by the optical fiber in response to the excitation signal (col. 7 line 59 – col. 8 line 9; Fig. 1). Shigehara lacks reference to a laser as a light source. It is extremely well known in the art to have a laser as a light source for coupling very specific wavelengths into an optical fiber.

Art Unit: 2872

Official Notice is taken. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Shigehara light source be a laser as is known in the art for the purpose of outputting the variable wavelengths with narrow wavebands to allow the filters (41-44; Fig. 1) of Shigehara to more efficiently remove the wavelengths from the fibers (11-14; Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 8, Shigehara teaches the claimed invention except for the duplication of the detector. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the detector, since it have been held that a mere duplication of working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to duplicate the detector for the purpose of providing a detector for each of the four wavelengths of Shigehara to more precisely determine the amount of light backscattered at that specific wavelength.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed June 2, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 8 under Sai have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Shigehara. The examiner suggested claim language that would overcome the prior art of record in a previous telephone interview. The applicant made the suggested amendment and the previous prior art of record has been overcome.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,025

Art Unit: 2872

### Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLP W

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER